



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/809,008   | 03/25/2004  | Bryan L. Dalton      | LM(F)6495 NP        | 7833             |
| 26294  | 7590        | 11/14/2007           | EXAMINER            |                  |
| TAROLLI, SUNDHEIM, COVELL & TUMMINO L.L.P.<br>1300 EAST NINTH STREET, SUITE 1700<br>CLEVEVLAND, OH 44114 |             |                      | NGUYEN, PHILLIP H   |                  |
| ART UNIT   |             | PAPER NUMBER         |                     |                  |
|  |             | 2191                 |                     |                  |
| MAIL DATE  |             | DELIVERY MODE        |                     |                  |
| 11/14/2007   |             | PAPER                |                     |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                              |                        |                     |  |
|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Office Action Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|                              | 10/809,008             | DALTON ET AL.       |  |
|                              | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|                              | Phillip H. Nguyen      | 2191                |  |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 September 2007.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 8-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 4-7 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

|  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

Art Unit: 2191

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed 9/24/2007.
2. Claims 1-20 remain pending and have been considered below.

### ***Response to Arguments***

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

4. Claims 4-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101***

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2191

6. Claims 1-3, 8-12 and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bunger (United States Patent No.: US 6,944,859).

As per claim 1:

Bunger discloses:

- a software application for use with the mobile data acquisition device, the software application being located on a remote computer (see at least col. 5, lines 53-54 "***the client-handheld conduit file 232, and a handheld file 224***"; also see ***FIG. 2***);
- the remote computer transferring the software application from the remote computer to the mobile data acquisition device (see at least col. 5, lines 9-55 "***The installation server 102 contains ...installation procedure 222. The installation procedure 222 are used to install the client-handheld conduit file 232, and a handheld file 224 onto the handheld computer 116***"; also see ***FIG. 2***);
- the remote computer installing and activating the software application for use by the mobile data acquisition device, the software application being determined by a user and replacing a factory default software application (see at least col. 5, lines 9-55 "***The installation server 102 contains ...installation procedure 222. The installation procedure 222 are used to install the client-handheld conduit file 232, and a handheld file 224 onto the handheld computer 116***" – ***the installed file must be activated in order to run on the handheld computer***).

Art Unit: 2191

As per claim 2:

Bunger further discloses:

- wherein the remote computer enables a first set of application for the mobile data acquisition device, the first set of applications being defined by a first User Role (see at least col. 8, lines 53-56 “*...The identification of the user type provides details such as the type of user and handheld files to which the user has access to, or may be interested in, for example, the user's profession or area specialty*” – *install software* depending on the user's profession or specialty).

As per claim 3:

Bunger further discloses:

- wherein the remote computer disables the first set of applications and enables a second of application for the mobile data acquisition device, the second set of applications being defined by a second User Role (*the first version application must be disabled or removed in order to install or activate the newest version application*).

As per claim 8:

Bunger further discloses:

- wherein the mobile data acquisition device disables a software application no longer needed by the mobile data acquisition device (*It is inherent that the*

***handheld computer 116 is capable of disable any software stored in it. For example, in order to synchronize, the Synchronization procedure must be activated/enabled. After the synchronization, the Synchronization procedure must be deactivated/disabled.***

As per claim 9:

Bunger further discloses:

- wherein the remote computer maintains an application installation log for determining software application enabled at a given time (see at least col. 8, lines 21-23 "***the client computer determines if any handheld computer file exist on the client computer and with what type of handheld device the client computer is configured to operate***" – configuration file can also be considered as installation log).

As per claim 10:

Bunger further discloses:

- wherein the remote computer requests an application activation file from the mobile data acquisition device ((see at least col. 8, lines 21-23 "***the client computer determines if any handheld computer file exist on the client computer and with what type of handheld device the client computer is configured to operate***" - the configuration file must be sent to client computer prior the installation of latest software)).

As per claim 11:

Bunger further discloses:

- a hand-held, mobile data acquisition device for use with the software application (see at least **FIG. 4**);
- a remote computer for transmitting the software application from said remote computer to said mobile data acquisition device (see at least col. 5, lines 9-55 "**The installation server 102 contains ...installation procedure 222. The installation procedure 222 are used to install the client-handheld conduit file 232, and a handheld file 224 onto the handheld computer 116**"; also see **FIG. 2**);
- said remote computer installing and activating the software application for use by said mobile data acquisition device (see at least col. 5, lines 9-55 "**The installation server 102 contains ...installation procedure 222. The installation procedure 222 are used to install the client-handheld conduit file 232, and a handheld file 224 onto the handheld computer 116**" – the installed file must be activated in order to run on the handheld computer), said mobile data acquisition device having the capability of disabling a software application of said data acquisition device (**It is inherent that the handheld computer 116 is capable of disable any software stored in it. For example, in order to synchronize, the Synchronization procedure must be**

***activated/enabled. After the synchronization, the Synchronization procedure must be deactivated/disabled).***

As per claim 12:

Bunger further discloses:

- wherein said remote computer initiates transfer of the software application subsequent to said mobile data acquisition device sending an application activation file to said remote computer (***It is inherent. The configuration file of handheld computer must be sent to the remote computer before downloading software application. In other words, download application is subsequent to configuration file sent to the remote computer.***)

As per claim 14:

Bunger further discloses:

- wherein said mobile data acquisition device acknowledges receipt of the software application from the remote computer (see at least col. 10, lines 50-54 "***The handheld computer receives this message and terminates the connection to the client computer. The install process is then completed, both on the client computer and on the handheld computer***" – handheld computer acknowledges the receipt of software by terminate the connection).

As per claim 15:

Bunger further discloses:

- a first instruction for initiating communication between a hand-held, mobile device and a remote computer (see at least col. 5, lines 34-40 "***The authentication procedures 216, are used for authenticating users, such as through a username and password system. Successful completion of the authentication procedures gives users access to the handheld file 224 on the installation server 102***");
- a second instruction for requesting the state of the mobile device by the remote computer (see at least col. 8, lines 21-23 "***the client computer determines if any handheld computer file exist on the client computer and with what type of handheld device the client computer is configured to operate***" – ***the type of the handheld device indicate the configuration (i.e. state) of the handheld device***);
- a third instruction for responding to the remote computer by the mobile device with a factory default state message (see at least col. 8, lines 27-32 "***the events and user interface are a Web-page displaying that the client and/or handheld computer has a particular configuration and can download and install the appropriate file. For example, a Web-page may be displayed that indicates that the user has a PALM device connected to it and can download the latest software***");

Art Unit: 2191

- a fourth instruction for initiating transfer of a software application from the remote computer to the mobile device (see at least col. 10, lines 39-41 "***the client computer then requests the handheld file from the installation server***"); and
- a fifth instruction for acknowledging receipt of the software application from the remote computer by the mobile device thereby enabling the software application at the mobile device (see at least col. 10, lines 50-54 "***The handheld computer receives this message and terminates the connection to the client computer. The install process is then completed, both on the client computer and on the handheld computer***" – handheld computer acknowledges the receipt of software by terminate the connection).

As per claim 16:

Bunger further discloses:

- a sixth instruction for changing a status of the mobile device from a factory default state to an operational state (see at least col. 8, line 32 "***download the latest software***").

As per claim 17:

Bunger further discloses:

- a sixth instruction for rebooting the mobile device (***It is inherent in order for the handheld computer to turn off and turn on***).

Art Unit: 2191

As per claim 18:

Bunger further discloses:

- a sixth instruction for running the software application on the mobile device (***It is inherent in Bunger's approach in order to fulfill the purpose of installing latest version software on the mobile device.***)

As per claim 19:

Bunger further discloses:

- a sixth instruction for sending an application activation file from the mobile device to the remote computer (see at least col. 8, lines 21-22 "***the client computer preferably determines if any handheld computer files exist on the client computer and with what type of handheld device the client computer is configured to operate***" – ***the configuration file must be sent to client computer prior the installation of latest software.***)

As per claim 20:

Bunger further discloses:

- a sixth instruction for ceasing communication between the mobile device and the remote computer (see at least col. 10, line 50-51 "***the handheld computer receives a message and terminates the connection to the client computer***").

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bunger (United States Patent No.: US 6,944,859).

As per claim 13:

Bunger does not explicitly disclose:

- wherein said remote computer removes invalid applications from an application activation file.

However, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize that the installation server is capable of remove any file.

Therefore, one would have been motivated to modify Bunger's approach to allow the installation server to remove any application from the configuration file for installation purposes.

***Conclusion***

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phillip H. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 270-1070. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 10:00 AM - 3:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wei Y. Zhen can be reached on (571) 272-3708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2191

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PN  
11/3/2007

MARY STEELMAN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

